



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/786,148

02/26/2004

Shiu-Ru Lin

19507-002

8192

60951

7590

11/26/2008

WPAT, PC

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS

2030 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300

IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

WESSENDORF, TERESA D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1639

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/26/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/786,148 | Applicant(s) LIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner TERESA WESSENDORF | Art Unit 1639 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TERESA WESSENDORF. (3) Mr. Anthony King.

(2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/12 and 11/18/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: nonw.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicants was informed that a continuation application, not RCE, with claims drawn to a method would be allowable. MPEP706.07(h)(xi) states that switching one invention to another is impermissible..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| /TERESA WESSENDORF/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639 | November 21, 2008 |
|--|-------------------|